

disposal site applications currently under regulatory review and the number of new waste processing technologies do not support the need for a centralized review of generic LLW TRs by NRC.

DWM is currently not accepting new LLW TRs for review. Further, TRs currently under review but not showing progress towards resolution of open issues (e.g., no vendor or NRC action in the last six months) are being placed into "discontinued" status. Existing TRs currently in a "discontinued" or "withdrawn" status will not be reopened, nor will amendments or revisions to "approved" TRs be reviewed. In the future, NRC suggests that vendors contact individual disposal facility operators, or the regulatory agency exercising jurisdiction over that disposal facility, for guidance on the review and acceptance of a specific waste form and classification proposal, such as a topical report.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 11th day of May, 1995.

For the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Michael J. Bell,

Chief, Engineering and Geosciences Branch, Division of Waste Management, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.

[FR Doc. 95-12102 Filed 5-16-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

[Docket Nos. 50-528, 50-529, 50-530; License Nos. DPR-67, NPF-16, DPR-31, DPR-41]

Florida Power & Light Company (Turkey Point and St. Lucie Nuclear Generating Stations); Issuance of Director's Decision Under 10 CFR 2.206

Notice is hereby given that the Director, Office of Enforcement, has issued a decision concerning the Petition filed by Mr. Thomas J. Saporito, Jr., (Petitioner) on Marcy 7, 1994. The Petition requested that the NRC: (1) Submit an *amicus curiae* brief to the Department of Labor (DOL) regarding his complaints numbered 89-ERA-007 and 89-ERA-017 concerning the Petitioner's claim that the licensee retaliated against him for engaging in protected activity during his employment at Turkey Point Nuclear Station in violation of 10 CFR 50.7; (2) institute a show cause proceeding pursuant to 10 CFR 2.202 to modify, suspend or revoke Florida Power & Light Company's licenses authorizing the operation of Turkey Point; and (3) institute a show cause proceeding pursuant to 10 CFR 2.202 and order the licensee to provide the Petitioner with

a "make whole" remedy, including but not limited to, immediate reinstatement to his previous position, back wages and front pay with interest, compensatory damages for pain and suffering, and a posting requirement to offset any "chilling effect." Petitioner's discharge may have had upon other employees at the Turkey Point and St. Lucie Stations.

On March 13, 1994, Petitioner supplemented the Petition, reiterating the three requests noted in the preceding paragraph and providing additional information.

On April 7, 1994, Petitioner supplemented the Petition providing a chronology of events that relate to his request for action against FP&L. Petitioner also described what Petitioner believes should be the content of the *amicus curiae* brief to DOL, including the fact that a licensee employee can go directly to NRC with safety concerns, the NRC instructed Petitioner not to divulge his safety concerns to FP&L, that Petitioner's conduct should not be considered insubordinate, and the FP&L engaged in illegal conduct when its Vice President interrogated Petitioner about his safety concerns.

On June 7, 1994, the Petitioner submitted an additional request pursuant to 10 CFR 2.206 which has been incorporated into the above-mentioned request. The June 7 Petition requested: (1) Enforcement action against specific FP&L employees (2) an NRC investigation into the involvement of FP&L employees in the discrimination against the Petitioner with the results of this investigation being forwarded to the Department of Justice, and (3) an investigation into whether the work climate at Turkey Point and St. Lucie nuclear stations makes employees feel free to go to their management and/or the NRC with safety concerns. This June 7 Petition was supplemented on June 28 and 30, 1994.

Based on a review of Petitioner's requests and supplemental submissions, the Licensee's response dated May 20, 1994, and the June 3, 1994 decision by the Secretary of Labor on complaints filed by the Petitioner in these cases, the Director, Office of Enforcement, has denied this Petition. The reasons for the denial are explained in the "Director's Decision under 10 CFR 2.206" (DD-95-07) which is available for public inspection in the Commission's Public Document Room at 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC 20555.

A copy of this Decision will be filed with the Secretary for the Commission's review in accordance with 10 CFR 2.206. As provided by this regulation, the Decision will constitute the final action of the Commission 25 days after

the date of issuance of the Decision unless the Commission on its own motion institutes a review of the Decision within that time.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 11th day of May 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

James Liberman,

Director, Office of Enforcement.

[FR Doc. 95-12101 Filed 5-16-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD

Agency Forms Submitted for OMB Review

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the Railroad Retirement Board has submitted the following proposal(s) for the collection of information to the Office of Management and Budget for review and approval.

Summary of Proposal(s)

(1) *Collection title:* Application for Search of Census Records (Railroad Retirement Purposes Only).

(2) *Form(s) submitted:* RRB Form G-256.

(3) *OMB Number:* 3220-0106.

(4) *Expiration date of current OMB clearance:* July 31, 1995.

(5) *Type of request:* Revision of a currently approved collection.

(6) *Respondents:* Individuals or households.

(7) *Estimated annual number of respondents:* 150.

(8) *Total annual responses:* 150.

(9) *Total annual reporting hours:* 25.

(10) *Collection description:* Under the Railroad Retirement Act, an application for benefits based on age must be supported by proof of the age claimed. The application obtains proof of an applicant's age from the Bureau of the Census when other evidence is unavailable.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION OR COMMENTS:

Copies of the form and supporting documents can be obtained from Chuck Mierzwa, the agency clearance officer (312-751-3363). Comments regarding the information collection should be addressed to Ronald J. Hodapp, Railroad Retirement Board, 844 North Rush Street, Chicago, Illinois 60611-2092 and the OMB reviewer, Laura Oliven (202-395-7316), Office of Management and Budget, Room 10230, New Executive